

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/693,822 | BLANCO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| DANIEL F. HAJNIK | 2628 | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK. | (3) _____. | | |
| (2) <u>Jens C. Jenkins (#44,803)</u> . | (4) _____. | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>25 March 2008</u> | Time: <u>3PM</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | If Yes, provide a brief description: . | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| n/a | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| 1, 18, and 26 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| n/a | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Examiner proposed claim amendments to put the case in condition for allowance and an agreement was made with Applicant's Representative</i> | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | | |